

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,387	04/20/2005	Luis Castro Gomez	027318-00004	6606
4372	7590 06/30/2006		EXAMINER	
ARENT FOX PLLC			MORGAN, EILEEN P	
1050 CONN SUITE 400	IECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	WASHINGTON, DC 20036			
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/518,387	GOMEZ, LUIS CASTRO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eileen P. Morgan	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ap	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 April 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:						
6. Patent and Trademark Office						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are totally unclear. Is a method being claimed or a product? The claims are labeled 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th. They should be 1,2,3,4. Claim 1, the high pitched noise' lacks antecedent basis. What is the noise from? What is 'without'? 'the cutting process' lacks antecedence. Is this process being claimed? How do you carry out a process of cutting with metallic grit? Line 2, 'which' refer to what? What does 'currently used' mean? Refer to? What are all these components referring to? 'Grit, sludge, grain size'? Are these items being claimed? They are not positively claimed. What does 'spherical or angular' refer to? Line 4, 'with progress...' is totally unclear. What does 18/IDA cm/h mean? What 'causes a strong, high pitched noise'? what is 'characterised by the fact'? 'by the fact' is unclear. Is this being claimed? What 'uses the smallest size of grit'? what does 'economically possible' mean? 'because the grit' is unclear. Which 'the grit' is being referred to? What is 'the highest progress possible'? What allows 'in order that the cutting'? 'is adopted' is unclear. The last line is unclear, with a sound level....and low pitch.' The claims do not make sense. It is impossible to figure out what is being claimed. Claims 2-4 have similar problems too numerous to mention

each one. The terms, such as, 'currently used, as economically possible, highest possible, highest progress possible, maximum size, difficulty level, optimum content, that is convenient, established in experiments,' make the entire claims indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are, as best understood, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Okanishi et al.-5,697,359.

Okanishi discloses a an abrasive saw for reducing noise while cutting stone comprising a cutting disk body having cutting regions (2) of abrasive grit and binder on the periphery.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eileen P. Morgan whose telephone number is 571.272.4488. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7am-3:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571.272.4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,387

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ΕM

June 19, 2006

Eileen P. Morgan

Page 4

Primary Examiner